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Human brucellosis still presents scientists and clinicians with several challenges, such as the understanding of pathogenic mechanisms of *Brucella* spp, the identification of markers for disease severity, progression, and treatment response, and the development of improved treatment regimens. Molecular studies have shed new light on the pathogenesis of *Brucella* spp, and new technologies have permitted the development of diagnostic tools that will be useful in developing countries, where brucellosis is still a very common but often neglected disease. However, further studies are needed to establish optimum treatment regimens and local and international control programmes. This Review summarises current knowledge of the pathogenic mechanisms, new diagnostic advances, therapeutic options, and the situation of developing countries in regard to human brucellosis.

**Introduction**

A renewed scientific interest in human brucellosis has been fuelled by its recent re-emergence and enhanced surveillance in many areas of the world, and from its status as a class B bioterrorist agent. The disease remains the world’s most common bacterial zoonosis, with over half a million new cases annually and prevalence rates in some countries exceeding ten cases per 100,000 population. Despite being endemic in many developing countries, brucellosis remains underdiagnosed and under-reported. Furthermore, since brucellosis is an important cause of veterinary morbidity and mortality, the disease can also cause important economic losses in developing countries.

Although brucellosis in human beings is rarely fatal, it can be severely debilitating and disabling. Brucellosis is a febrile disease capable of masquerading as a myriad of entities, both infectious and non-infectious. The disease has a tendency towards chronicity and persistence, becoming a granulomatous disease capable of affecting any organ system. The timely and accurate diagnosis of human brucellosis continues to challenge clinicians because of its non-specific clinical features, slow growth rate in blood cultures, and the complexity of its serodiagnosis.

The clinical management of brucellosis is of particular concern because of high initial treatment failure and relapse rates. The availability of the complete genome sequences of the three main human brucellosis pathogens, *Brucella melitensis*, *Brucella suis*, and *Brucella abortus*, and the advancement of genomics and proteomics will enable scientists to better understand the disease’s pathogenic mechanisms. Developments in culture and serological methods, and the availability of advanced molecular detection and typing methods have contributed to improved laboratory diagnosis. These molecular methods could serve as important alternatives to culture methods for the confirmation of the disease and may also provide valuable epidemiological tools to trace sources of infection. Some of the newer diagnostic methods are simple, robust, and affordable, and may prove to be essential in endemic areas with limited financial resources and a limited number of laboratory workers.

**Pathogenicity and biology of brucellosis**

*Brucella* species are facultative intracellular bacteria that can multiply within phagocytic cells with human beings as end hosts. Brucella may enter the host via ingestion or inhalation, or through conjunctiva or skin abrasions. After infecting the host, the pathogen becomes sequestered within cells of the reticuloendothelial system. The mechanisms by which brucella enters cells and evades intracellular killing and the host immune system are the subject of much research and debate. In depth analysis of the complete *Brucella* spp genomes has failed to identify any of the classic virulence factors such as toxins, fimbriae, and capsules, which raises the possibility that these organisms use unique and subtle mechanisms to evade host defences, penetrate host cells, alter intracellular trafficking to avoid degradation and killing in lysosomes, and modulate the intracellular environment to allow long-term intracellular survival and replication. The smooth lipopolysaccharides that cover the bacterium and proteins involved in signalling, gene regulation, and transmembrane transportation are among the factors suspected to be involved in the virulence of brucella.

Research suggests that the smooth, endotoxin-like lipopolysaccharides help block the development of innate and specific immunity during the early stage of infection, and protect the pathogen from the microbicidal activities of the immune system. Rough (vaccine) strains (ie, strains with lipopolysaccharide lacking the O-side chain) are less virulent because of their inability to overcome the host defence system. Smooth lipopolysaccharide prevents the synthesis of immune mediators and is much less potent than *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide in inducing host release of inflammatory cytokines. Smooth lipopolysaccharide also has a role in cell entry and immune evasion of the infected cell. The lipopolysaccharide is thought to alter the capacity of the infected cell to present foreign antigens to the MHC class II antigen presentation system, hence preventing attack and killing of the infected cell by the immune system. Additionally, smooth lipopolysaccharide in brucella may be involved in the inhibition of apoptosis (ie, programmed cell death) of infected cells, since resistance to apoptosis of infected cells has been observed in patients with acute and chronic disease. By contrast, the lipopolysaccharide may also contribute to the suppression of TH1 responses during the early stage of infection and may delay the activation of TH1 cells and the lymphokines they produce, hence allowing the survival of the pathogen within eosinophils and monocytes.

*Brucella* species can multiply within phagocytic cells with human beings as end hosts. Brucella may enter the host via ingestion or inhalation, or through conjunctiva or skin abrasions. After infecting the host, the pathogen becomes sequestered within cells of the reticuloendothelial system. The mechanisms by which brucella enters cells and evades intracellular killing and the host immune system are the subject of much research and debate. In depth analysis of the complete *Brucella* spp genomes has failed to identify any of the classic virulence factors such as toxins, fimbriae, and capsules, which raises the possibility that these organisms use unique and subtle mechanisms to evade host defences, penetrate host cells, alter intracellular trafficking to avoid degradation and killing in lysosomes, and modulate the intracellular environment to allow long-term intracellular survival and replication. The smooth lipopolysaccharides that cover the bacterium and proteins involved in signalling, gene regulation, and transmembrane transportation are among the factors suspected to be involved in the virulence of brucella.

Research suggests that the smooth, endotoxin-like lipopolysaccharides help block the development of innate and specific immunity during the early stage of infection, and protect the pathogen from the microbicidal activities of the immune system. Rough (vaccine) strains (ie, strains with lipopolysaccharide lacking the O-side chain) are less virulent because of their inability to overcome the host defence system. Smooth lipopolysaccharide prevents the synthesis of immune mediators and is much less potent than *Escherichia coli* lipopolysaccharide in inducing host release of inflammatory cytokines. Smooth lipopolysaccharide also has a role in cell entry and immune evasion of the infected cell. The lipopolysaccharide is thought to alter the capacity of the infected cell to present foreign antigens to the MHC class II antigen presentation system, hence preventing attack and killing of the infected cell by the immune system. Additionally, smooth lipopolysaccharide in brucella may be involved in the inhibition of apoptosis (ie, programmed cell death) of infected cells, since resistance to apoptosis of infected cells has been observed in patients with acute and chronic disease. By contrast,
rough strains do not confer host cells resistance to apoptosis. Smooth brucella strains with mutations in the phosphoglucomutase gene involved in lipopolysaccharide O-chain synthesis show a profound attenuation of virulence.\textsuperscript{12,18} O-chain synthesis show a profound attenuation of phosphoglucomutase gene involved in lipopolysaccharide replication of the bacterium. After binding to macrophages, brucella is taken up by internalisation vesicles that would normally fuse with endosomes. After acidification, these endosomes lyse, destroying their contents. Acidification is thought to induce VirB expression.\textsuperscript{21–23} The VirB system is suspected to interact with components of the endoplasmic reticulum, neutralising the pH and allowing the brucellae to undergo regulated cell division within the endoplasmic reticulum’s safe environment.\textsuperscript{22}

Heat shock protein 60 (Hsp60), a member of the GroEL family of chaperonins, is expressed on the cell surface of wild-type \textit{Brucella} spp, but not on VirB mutants. Hsp60 seems to play a part in cell adherence by binding to a cellular prion molecule called PrPr. Since the exportation of Hsp60 is VirB-dependent, it has been postulated that Hsp60 could in fact be a virulence factor.\textsuperscript{26}

**Clinical diagnosis**

Human brucellosis has a wide spectrum of clinical manifestations, earning it a place alongside syphilis and tuberculosis as one of the “great imitators”.\textsuperscript{29–33} The clinical features of brucellosis depend on the stage of the disease, and the organs and systems involved. Brucella has been reported to compromise the central and peripheral nervous system, and the gastrointestinal, hepatobiliary, genitourinary, musculoskeletal, cardiovascular, and integumentary systems. Historically, only \textit{B melitensis}, \textit{B abortus}, and \textit{B suis} were considered human pathogens, but recent reports have shown that newly recognised marine mammal species have zoonotic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1: Most common clinical findings in patients with brucellosis</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of patients</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memish et al (2000)\textsuperscript{a}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kokoglu et al (2006)\textsuperscript{b}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantur et al (2006)\textsuperscript{c}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ruiz-Mesa et al (2005)\textsuperscript{d}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barroso Garcia et al (2002)\textsuperscript{e}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hasanjanir Rouhan et al (2004)\textsuperscript{f}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pappas et al (2005)\textsuperscript{g}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Troy et al (2005)\textsuperscript{h}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Andriopoulos et al (2007)\textsuperscript{i}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gannakopoulos et al (2005)\textsuperscript{j}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mantur et al (2004)\textsuperscript{k}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tsolia et al (2002)\textsuperscript{l}</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data shown as number of patients with symptom (%). \textsuperscript{*}Constitutional symptoms comprise anorexia, malaise, asthenia, weight loss, etc. \textsuperscript{†}Studies in paediatric population only.
Potential, and an apparent tendency for complicated disease in human beings. Despite major ongoing controversies in the taxonomy of *Brucella* species, the bulk of human disease is caused by two species: *B melitensis* and *B abortus*. Clinical differences between species are difficult to determine, since few studies have compared the clinical manifestations of sufficient cases of each species. The limited data available provide conflicting evidence as to which species results in more cases of chronic or complicated disease.

Because of the protean clinical manifestations of brucellosis, the cornerstone of clinical diagnosis lies in taking a detailed history and paying careful attention to epidemiological information. Special attention must be placed on determining whether ingestion of contaminated dairy products or contact with infected animals has occurred. Detailed patient interviews are crucial for the diagnosis of human brucellosis, especially in urban and non-endemic areas, and in cases of imported brucella, in which travellers acquire the disease abroad and become ill in non-endemic settings. The diagnosis of a patient with brucellosis should prompt the clinician to consider the likely mode of transmission, and possibly to initiate screening of contacts that may have had the same exposure (eg, ingestion of contaminated dairy products or exposure to animal source).

Clinical studies have shown that fever is the most common feature of brucellosis, followed by osteoarticular involvement, sweating, and constitutional symptoms (table 1). On physical examination, the most common findings are hepatomegaly and splenomegaly, which occur in about one-third of patients. Lymphadenopathy is seen in about 10% of patients. Osteoarticular manifestations (sacroiliitis, spondylitis, peripheral neuropathies, chorea, meningocerebralitis, transient ischaemic attacks, psychiatric manifestations, and cranial nerve compromise. Mucocutaneous manifestations include erythematous papular lesions, purpura, dermal cysts, and Stevens-Johnson syndrome. Pulmonary manifestations, including pleural effusions and pneumonias, can be found in up to 16% of complicated cases of brucellosis. Leucocytosis is observed in about 9% of patients and if found, focal complications should be excluded (table 2). Leucocytosis (11% of patients) and thrombocytopenia (10% of patients) are seen in similar frequencies. Anaemia is seen more frequently, affecting 26% of patients. Common disease findings, however, may vary between different areas and populations. Endocarditis—with the aortic valve being the most commonly affected structure and multiple valve involvement being common within this subset of patients—is the most serious complication, accounting for most of the 5% total mortality rate of human brucellosis.

In brucellosis with focal complications, imaging studies can provide useful anatomic and topographic confirmation of suspected lesions to enable adequate planning of medical and surgical treatment. Advances in the imaging field have produced mixed results in the diagnosis of brucellosis: attempts at improving bone scintigraphy have failed to produce breakthroughs, whereas improved computed tomography (such as diffusion/perfusion studies), and magnetic resonance imaging (such as fluid-attenuated inversion recovery [FLAIR] modalities) have enabled improved sensitivity in detecting both bone and soft-tissue lesions (figure 1). Digital subtraction angiography and transoesophageal echocardiography have also proved remarkably useful in detecting vascular and valvular insults in neurobrucellosis and brucellar endocarditis.

Childhood brucellosis deserves special mention, since the condition is easily overlooked in infants. The most common osteoarticular finding in children is monoarticular arthritis (usually of the knees and hips), whereas in adults, sacroiliitis is most frequent. Limited data support vertical transmission of *Brucella* species, and transmission via breastmilk. Reports of transmission of human brucellosis via blood transfusion have also been published, and some authors have even suggested that sexual transmission can occur. Further study is needed to substantiate these findings.
claims, although intrauterine transmission, transmission during delivery, and transmission through breastmilk are indeed among the main routes of transmission in the mammalian reservoirs.

Culture

Blood culture is the gold standard in the diagnosis of bacterial infections, including brucellosis (table 3). Although the biphasic Ruiz-Castañeda system (figure 2) is the traditional method for the isolation of Brucella spp from clinical samples,60–62 it has now largely been replaced by automated culture systems—such as the lysis centrifugation method58,59—with increased sensitivity and reduced culture times.55–57,63

The sensitivity of blood culture depends on several factors, particularly the phase of the disease and previous use of antibiotics.44,45 For instance, in acute cases, the sensitivities of the Ruiz-Castañeda method and lysis centrifugation have been reported as high as 80% and 90%, respectively, but as low as 30% and 70%, respectively, in chronic cases.48,49 Bone marrow cultures may provide a higher sensitivity, yield faster culture times, and may be superior to blood cultures when evaluating patients with previous antibiotic use.54,62 Brucella can also be cultured from pus, tissue samples, and cerebrospinal, pleural, joint, or ascitic fluid.68,69 Since brucellosis constitutes one of the most common laboratory-acquired infections, special care should be taken when using the lysis centrifugation method to avoid infection from contaminated aerosols.70–73

So far, there is no evidence to suggest that drug resistance has an important part in treatment failure and relapse.74,75 An early study by Ariza and colleagues76 showed that brucella strains recovered from relapsed patients had antibiotic sensitivity profiles identical to the original strains recovered during the initial infection. Resistant Brucella spp isolates have rarely been reported. Since brucella does not contain any plasmids and human beings are end hosts, these factors may contribute to the absence of any pronounced degree of antibiotic resistance.

Serodiagnosis

Agglutination tests

In the absence of culture facilities, the diagnosis of brucellosis traditionally relies on serological testing with a variety of agglutination tests such as the Rose Bengal test, the serum agglutination test, and the antiglobulin or Coombs’ test. In general, the Rose Bengal test is used as a screening test, and positive results are confirmed by the serum agglutination test.38,77 These agglutination tests are based on the reactivity of antibodies against smooth lipopolysaccharide. These antibodies tend to persist in patients long after recovery; therefore, in endemic areas, high background values could occur that may affect the diagnostic value of the test.78 Furthermore, the brucella smooth lipopolysaccharide antigen tends to show cross-reactivity with other Gram-negative bacteria such as Yersinia enterocolitica 0:9, Vibrio cholerae, Escherichia coli O:157, and Francisella tularensis, increasing the possibility of false-positive results.79 The sensitivity of the Rose Bengal test is very high, however, and false-negative results are rarely observed.80 The specificity of the assay is also fairly high, and in unexposed populations, false-positive results are rare. Differences in the quality of the reagent used and disagreement in the interpretation of results might add to variability of test results.81,82

Table 3: Characteristics and sensitivities of culture methods in clinical specimens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Incubation time</th>
<th>Requires blind sub-cultures</th>
<th>Sensitivity (disease stage)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ruiz-Castañeda</td>
<td>7–21 days</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>70–80% (acute); 50% (chronic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lysis centrifugation</td>
<td>2–4 days</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>&gt;90% (acute); 70% (chronic)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bone marrow culture</td>
<td>4–7 days</td>
<td>Depends on method and media used</td>
<td>97% (acute); 90% (subacute); 50% (chronic)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1: Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) has become a powerful aid in investigating bone and soft-tissue lesions in brucellosis patients

(A) T1 and (B) T2-weighted MRI images of a 63-year-old male with spinal osteomyelitis, sacroiliitis, and psoas abscess caused by brucella infection.

Figure 2: Blood culture is the gold standard in the diagnosis of brucellosis

(A) The lysis centrifugation method has a better sensitivity and provides quicker results than (B) the biphasic Ruiz-Castañeda method.
As with other serological tests, the sensitivity and specificity of the confirmatory agglutination tests for brucellosis depend on the cut-off value used, and on the background level of reactive antibodies in the population. By doing the test on a serial dilution of the samples with results judged positive above a certain titre, the specificity and positive predictive value of a positive test result could be increased by selecting a higher cut-off value for areas where brucellosis is endemic. However, by selecting a higher cut-off value the sensitivity decreases and because patients with acute brucellosis and those with persisting and relapsing disease may present with low antibody levels, the interpretation of test results and diagnosis of these patients by serological testing might not be straightforward. In a recent study, Mantecon and co-workers calculated a sensitivity for the serum agglutination test of 84.6% at any titre for patients with culture-confirmed acute brucellosis. However, in the same study it was noted that the sensitivity at the generally accepted cut-off value of a serum dilution of 1/160 was 64.7%, while at a cut-off value of 1/320 the sensitivity was just 47.1%. The latter cut-off value is often recommended when the assay is used in endemic areas. If collection of a follow-up sample is feasible, the sensitivity of the test could be increased by testing paired serum samples and looking for seroconversion, or a four-fold increase in titre. Demonstration of seroconversion or a significant increase in antibody titre provides strong supportive evidence for the infection and this may be observed by testing a follow-up sample collected a few weeks to several months after the initial diagnosis.

To exclude the possibility of cross-reactive IgM antibodies, the 2-mercaptoethanol test for measuring specific agglutinating IgG antibodies is sometimes used; results are compared with the serum agglutination test titre and reactivity in the 2-mercaptoethanol test is taken as evidence for the presence of specific IgG antibodies. However, many patients have low levels of agglutinating IgG antibodies and results can easily be misinterpreted. Coombs’ test may be more suitable for confirmation of brucellosis in relapsing patients or patients with persisting disease, but few laboratories have the expertise and equipment to do this very sensitive but complex and demanding technique.

**ELISA**

ELISA has become increasingly popular as a well-standardised test for brucellosis. The sensitivity of ELISAs prepared in the laboratory may be high, especially when the detection of specific IgM antibodies is complemented with the detection of specific IgG antibodies. The specificity of ELISA, however, seems to be less than that of the agglutination tests. Since ELISA for brucella is based on the detection of antibodies against smooth lipopolysaccharide, the cut-off value may need adjustment to optimise specificity when used in endemic areas, and this may influence sensitivity. Curiously, test performance of commercial ELISAs, as described in their package inserts, is based on comparison with commercial ELISAs of other brands, and not culture. Manufacturers further overlook the fact that cut-off values should be established based on local epidemiological conditions. Furthermore, the only study on this topic, by Araj and colleagues, used healthy individuals as negative controls, thereby possibly overestimating test specificity, and did not investigate patients who presented with clinical suspicion of brucellosis but had a different final diagnosis, and who may have had cross-reactive antibodies. Commercial ELISAs also have not been evaluated under different epidemiological conditions and results should be interpreted with care. Studies testing the more recently developed Brucellacapt (Vircell SL, Granada, Spain) assay in an endemic region in Spain showed that at a cut-off value of 1/80, a sensitivity of 98% for culture-confirmed patients and a specificity of 96% for samples collected from healthy individuals was obtained; however, a specificity of 63% was found when testing patients who had conditions other than brucellosis. At a higher cut-off value, the specificity improved but the sensitivity dropped.

Sero logical testing with agglutination tests and ELISA has been applied in the diagnosis of central nervous system brucellosis with varying success, and further research is aimed to improve the diagnosis of this condition.

**Rapid point-of-care assays**

The serum agglutination test, Coombs’ test, ELISA, and Brucellacapt all require a well-equipped laboratory, a facility often lacking in health centres of resource-poor countries where the disease is endemic. Rapid tests such as the fluorescent polarisation immunoassay (FPA) for brucellosis and the immunochromatographic brucella IgM/IgG lateral flow assay (LFA; figure 3), a simplified version of ELISA, have great potential as point-of-care tests. The FPA test is done by incubation of a serum sample with brucella O-polysaccharide antigen linked to a fluorescent probe. The sensitivity of this test at the selected cut-off value is 96% for culture-confirmed brucellosis, and the specificity was determined to be 98% for samples from healthy blood donors. The LFA uses a drop of blood obtained by fingerprick, does not require specific training, is easy to interpret, and can be used at the bedside. The components are stabilised and do not require refrigeration for transportation or storage. The sensitivity and specificity of LFA are high (more than 95%), and the test can be used at all stages of disease.

Further studies will be needed to confirm the usefulness of these new point-of-care tests in different clinical settings in endemic areas, with particular attention to the diagnosis of patients with acute and relapsing brucellosis. The requirement of specific equipment and reagents might make the FPA test too.
expensive, but a simple test such as the LFA could be ideal for field testing risk groups during outbreaks.88 Another useful application for these tests is to screen the contacts of brucellosis patients.90–92

**Treatment monitoring**
Currently, tests to predict treatment outcome and relapse are not available. The 2-mercaptoethanol test is sometimes used to monitor response to treatment.93 It was observed that a low 2-mercaptoethanol titre measured 12 months after treatment is consistent with cure, whereas a substantial proportion of patients continuing to show elevated 2-mercaptoethanol titres are asymptomatic. The predictive value of the 2-mercaptoethanol test is, however, debated. In view of the risk of relapse and treatment failure in human brucellosis, more accurate markers for predicting the outcome of treatment are needed. Serological changes are more easily observed in ELISA than in the classic agglutination tests, with relapsing patients showing a rise in IgG antibody levels.9 The predictive value of serum antibodies against smooth lipopolysaccharide as measured in ELISA for persisting disease seems to be low because 12 months after therapy, 25% of cured patients have specific IgM antibodies and almost 90% have specific IgG antibodies. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis has identified several immunogenic brucella proteins. Some of these proteins that are expressed during infection may well be of diagnostic importance in evaluating response to treatment.94

**Molecular detection**

**Applications in the diagnosis of brucellosis**
PCR is a convenient tool for the diagnosis of human brucellosis that may improve sensitivity compared with culture.95 Several genus-specific PCR systems using primer pairs that target 16S RNA sequences and the genes of different outer membrane proteins have been developed.96–101 Each of these PCR systems produces a discrete DNA product, whose length is identical for and specific to all *Brucella* species. Queipo-Ortuno and co-workers102 found 100% sensitivity and 98.3% specificity using the B4/B5 primer pair amplifying a 223-bp fragment of the *bcsp31* gene, compared with 70% sensitivity for blood culture. Adequate comparisons of the different PCR systems and primers are still lacking, and results may presumably depend on the nature of the clinical specimen, the sample preparation procedure, and the duration and stage of illness. For example, Zerva and colleagues103 reported that the sensitivity of the B4/B5 primer pair improved from 61% to 94% when serum instead of whole blood samples was used. However, using a modified detection system, Vrioni and co-workers104 found no improvement in the detection rate by testing whole blood samples. The incorporation of a robust DNA extraction method, such as the diatom-guanidinium isothiocyanate method, which effectively removes inhibitors commonly present in a variety of clinical specimens, may improve sensitivity and reproducibility.105 Indeed, using a commercially available sample preparation kit, based on guanidinium isothiocyanate for sample lysis and DNA extraction, and a solid matrix to bind and isolate the purified DNA, Mitka and colleagues106 found an almost 100% sensitivity for each of four PCR systems by testing either serum, buffy coat, or whole blood samples from 200 patients with acute brucellosis of whom 74% were culture-confirmed. PCR could be particularly useful in patients with specific complications such as neurobrucellosis, or other localised infections, since serological testing often fails in such patients.95–109 However, because these PCR systems are complex, time consuming, and have a high risk of contamination, they are less suitable for routine diagnostic purposes; real-time PCR systems have been developed that are faster and less prone to contamination and are thus more clinically useful.109–115 Comparative analysis of the various real-time PCRs is needed to assess their diagnostic value. However, the high costs of these assays will restrict their use.

---

**Figure 3:** The brucella IgM/IgG lateral flow assay, a point-of-care test for the confirmation of brucellosis

The brucella IgM/IgG lateral flow assay is an example of a simple and rapid test for the diagnosis of brucellosis that can be done at the bedside using a drop of blood collected by fingerprick. The results for two serum samples, one containing (A) specific IgG antibodies and (B) one containing specific IgM antibodies, are shown. Ratios indicate the serum dilution at which the specified reference tests reacted for the two serum samples. SAT=serum agglutination test. 2ME=2-mercaptoethanol test.
Applications in the assessment of brucellosis treatment

Relapsing brucellosis is another diagnostic challenge in which PCR could prove to be useful.\(^{105}\) PCR was recently used to assess treatment efficacy.\(^{109}\) PCR showed the persistence of low levels of brucella DNA in the serum of treated patients, with seven (35%) patients testing positive 1–2 years after the end of treatment. Of these seven patients, four relapsed and three did not. In another study, follow-up samples collected after treatment completion showed that two out of 20 patients tested PCR positive, and these PCR results were confirmed by culture.\(^{116}\) These results strongly suggest that the bacterium may persist in the blood of treated patients for a long time, likely inside macrophages, which could account for the high treatment failure and relapse rates.\(^{114,115}\) Indeed, one study has shown that persistence of brucella DNA correlates with ineffective treatment and relapse.\(^{114}\) Therefore, PCR may be used as an alternative to culture in the confirmation of brucellosis, to monitor treatment efficacy, and to diagnose relapsing patients.

Other applications of PCR

PCR also appears to be useful in species differentiation and biotyping of isolates. Short nucleotide repeat sequences present at different loci in the brucella genome show a wide variation in the number of repeats between species and isolates.\(^{109,112}\) PCR amplification of these variable repeats is more robust than classic typing methods for species and biovar identification, and is more powerful than other molecular tools such as outer membrane protein typing,\(^{112,113}\) IS711 typing,\(^{109}\) or typing by amplified fragment length polymorphism.\(^{125}\) PCR amplification of a set of loci containing these repeats has allowed the characterisation of individual and unique isolates even from within the same region.\(^{126,127}\) This application could be applied epidemiologically to trace infections to specific flocks or dairy producers, and the emergence of cases with identical isolates could perhaps be taken as evidence for the presence of a focus of intensified transmission. Furthermore, the method could prove useful in discriminating reinfection and relapse.\(^{127}\)

Treatment

WHO has not updated its recommended treatment regimens for brucellosis in more than 20 years.\(^{128}\) Despite treatment failure and relapse rates ranging from 4·6% to 24% for the oral regimen and 5% to 8% for the oral/parenteral regimen,\(^{129,130}\) the cause for such high failure rates remains unclear. Since antibiotic resistance can be discounted as a major factor, it would seem logical to assume that patient compliance is an important factor, especially when one considers the length of treatment and the frequency of adverse reactions. Another possibility is suggested by the observations of persistent PCR positivity despite “successful” or optimum therapy.\(^{130,132,133}\) Treatment failure results in increased medical costs, increased patient suffering, and hence more effective regimens are urgently needed.

Some attempts at predicting relapses have been made, but these require large-scale substantiation to determine their true clinical effectiveness. Ariza and colleagues\(^{134}\) determined the following independent risk factors for relapse from a prospective cohort of 530 adults: “less-effective” antibiotic therapy, positive blood cultures during initial disease, disease duration of 10 days or less before start of treatment, male sex, and a platelet count of 150x10³ per µL or less.\(^{135}\) Solera and colleagues\(^{136}\) have proposed a multivariate model to stratify a patient’s risk of relapsing into groups of low (4·5%), medium (32%), and high (67%) probability of relapse within 12 months. The independent predictors of relapse were temperatures of 38·3°C or higher, positive blood cultures at baseline, and a duration of symptoms before treatment of less than 10 days.

The WHO oral regimen consists of 200 mg doxycycline plus 600–900 mg rifampicin daily for a minimum of 6 weeks, whereas the alternate oral/parenteral scheme replaces rifampicin with 15 mg/kg streptomycin daily for the first 2–3 weeks of treatment only. Although these regimens are based on the results of many clinical and in-vitro studies, much controversy remains regarding the ideal treatment. An in-vitro study has shown that doxycycline and rifampicin retain adequate activity at a pH of 5 (the pH of brucella-destroying phagolysosomes), and that rifampicin increases its activity at low pH.\(^{137}\) A meta-analysis has shown that the classic streptomycin plus tetracycline treatment regimen results in a higher cure rate and in fewer relapses than the WHO oral regimen.\(^{138}\) The search for an oral-only monotherapy has so far failed, but has resulted in the evaluation of many candidates including macrolides and quinolones. A recent study evaluating minocycline in combination with rifampicin showed a very low relapse rate.\(^{139}\) Concerns about treatment failure and relapse, and fear of emerging rifampicin resistance in areas endemic to tuberculosis have led to the pursuit of triple-drug combinations with some encouraging initial results.\(^{139–141}\) Solera and co-workers\(^{142}\) have suggested the addition of gentamycin for the first 7 or preferably 10 days to the standard oral regimen, and Mantur and colleagues\(^{143}\) did not observe any relapse among 93 patients treated with a gentamycin-doxycycline-rifampicin triple therapy. Extending the antibiotic treatment also appears to have a positive effect on relapse and failure rates in all treatment regimens. Improved antibiotic delivery methods—for example, through antibiotic-loaded microspheres—could shorten duration, decrease toxicities, and improve the efficacy of treatment; however, more research in this field is still needed.\(^{144}\)

Evaluation of immunomodulation with levamisole plus conventional therapy in the management of chronic brucellosis has shown mixed results.\(^{145–147}\) Although initial reports of the addition of interferon alfa-2a to standard therapy in anergic patients seemed somewhat promising, this has not led to any practical application.\(^{42}\) Currently,
extended treatment with standard drug combinations should be given to those patients with persisting signs and symptoms of recurrent disease. Additionally, when treating focal infections, careful attention must be given to the penetration and activity of the drug in the particular tissue involved, and the choice and duration of therapy must be individualised, with prolonged treatment in cases with specific complications such as endocarditis or central nervous system involvement. The more effective doxycycline-streptomycin combination is preferred in patients with more severe disease, such as spinal involvement, and the duration of therapy may be prolonged. Abscesses and specific focalised forms of brucellosis including endocarditis, cerebral, epidural, or splenic abscess might require surgical interventions since these forms are resistant to antibiotics. Finally, the treatment of brucellosis in children and pregnant and lactating women requires special attention and insufficient data are available to give specific recommendations.\textsuperscript{49,128}

Special considerations for developing countries

Most of the programmes that have been successful in controlling brucellosis took place in developed nations, with developing countries continuing to bear the burden of this disease.\textsuperscript{8} Notably, most cases of human brucellosis in non-endemic developed countries result from dairy products imported from endemic areas,\textsuperscript{144–146} or from patients who import the disease.\textsuperscript{144,147} Despite the existence of effective vaccines for cattle (S19) and goats (Rev 1), control efforts in economically poor endemic areas have failed as a result of inconsistent infrastructure and lack of funding.\textsuperscript{148,149} A major issue is that control measures should continue for a long period of time and be complemented with a monitoring system that may be prolonged. Abscesses and specific focalised forms of brucellosis including endocarditis, cerebral, epidural, or splenic abscess might require surgical interventions since these forms are resistant to antibiotics. Finally, the treatment of brucellosis in children and pregnant and lactating women requires special attention and insufficient data are available to give specific recommendations.\textsuperscript{49,128}

Conclusions

Developing countries could benefit from the renewed interest in brucellosis shown by the scientific community. Molecular detection methods such as PCR amplification and genotyping will be powerful epidemiological tools for confirmation of the disease and for identification of sources of infection. These methods do not carry the risk of laboratory-acquired infection that culture techniques do. Additionally, rapid point-of-care assays will enable fast and accessible diagnostic capabilities even in remote areas. The search for new and improved treatment regimens will hopefully provide strategies to effectively cure even the most complex cases of brucellosis often seen in endemic areas.

New insights into the pathogenesis of Brucella spp have not yet led to new developments in the treatment of patients as might have been hoped. The fact that brucella does not use any of the classic virulence mechanisms has perhaps made investigation in this area slower, but certainly more exciting. Since brucellosis poses a severe health threat and restricts export of livestock and animal products from endemic areas, it hampers much-needed economic development in these areas. Effective vaccines are currently available and it is important to find means and resources for their effective use in resource-poor countries in conjunction with sustained control efforts that incorporate local farming practices, dietary habits, and traditional beliefs. Brucellosis is routinely overlooked, misdiagnosed, or, at best diagnosed
incidentally; therefore, physicians in both endemic and non-endemic areas must become aware of and consider brucellosis in their differential diagnosis of febrile diseases with peculiar musculoskeletal or other focal findings. Clinicians’ recognition and reporting of the disease is essential for the allocation of resources and efforts for the development of sustained control measures.
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