

Severe pneumococcal pneumonia: new strategies for management

Christine C.C. Chiou^a and Victor L. Yu^b

Purpose of review

Streptococcus pneumoniae is the leading cause of community-acquired pneumonia worldwide and is the most likely causative pathogen in patients with communityacquired pneumonia admitted to the intensive care unit. Bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia is an advanced stage of severe pneumococcal pneumonia. Improvement in the management of bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia has the potential for improving the survival for severe pneumococcal pneumonia.

Recent findings

Non-culture methods, especially the Binax urinary antigen test, can increase the diagnostic yield for pneumococcal pneumonia, allowing targeted antimicrobial therapy (specifically penicillin). In-vitro resistance to penicillin has increased over the past decade; however, it has not led to clinical failure when used for pneumococcal pneumonia.

Summary

Hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia should have blood cultures obtained to confirm the possibility of bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. Based on pharmacodynamic properties, parenteral penicillin remains the drug of choice to treat pneumococcal pneumonia regardless of in-vitro resistance. Combination antimicrobial therapy will likely improve survival of patients with bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia among the subset of critically ill patients.

Keywords

penicillin, pneumococcal pneumonia, *Streptococcus* pneumoniae

Curr Opin Crit Care 12:470-476. © 2006 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins.

^aDepartment of Pediatrics, Veterans General Hospital – Kaohsiung, Kaohsiung, Taiwan and ^bDivision of Infectious Disease, VA Medical Center and University of Pittsburgh, Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania, USA

Correspondences to Victor L. Yu, MD, Infectious Disease Section, VA Medical Center, University Drive C, Pittsburgh, PA 15240, USA Tel: +1 412 688 6403; fax: +1 412 688 6507 or 6950; e-mail: vly@pitt.edu

Current Opinion in Critical Care 2006, 12:470-476

Abbreviations

CAP community-acquired pneumonia **MIC** minimum inhibitory concentration

© 2006 Lippincott Williams & Wilkins 1070-5295

Introduction

Pneumonia, the leading infectious cause of death in the US, kills more people annually than AIDS, tuberculosis, meningitis and endocarditis combined [1]. From a wide range of observational studies of community-acquired pneumonia (CAP), only half of the cases had an etiologic agent identified. Streptococcus pneumoniae was consistently the predominant bacterial etiology, particularly when associated with bacteremia [2]. Hence, S. pneumoniae is the leading cause of CAP worldwide in both adults and children. Bacteremia, which occurs in 10-20% of patients with pneumococcal pneumonia, has long been known to increase the mortality substantially beyond that seen with pneumonia alone. The case fatality rate of untreated bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia is about 80%. Serum therapy resulted in the decrease of case fatality rate to 50% [3]. With the advent of antimicrobial therapy, the case fatality rate of bacteremic pneumococcal disease decreased further to 20% [3]. This case fatality rate has remained relatively constant despite the advent of intensive care units [4]. The advanced stage of severe pneumococcal pneumonia is bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. So if substantive improvement in the mortality of pneumococcal pneumonia is to occur, it must be directed at the bacteremic stage.

Pathogenesis

S. pneumoniae needs to colonize the nasopharyngeal epithelial cells to be able to multiply. Microaspiration of the microorganism from the nasopharynx to the lungs can then lead to pneumonia. When the defense system of the host is intact, the attachment, growth and spread to the lungs are controlled, and pneumonia will not develop. Once in the pulmonary parenchyma, S. pneumoniae elicits an intense inflammatory reaction. Phagocytosis of the organism is enhanced if type-specific opsonizing antibodies are present. Bacteremia is more likely to occur in the absence of these antibodies (such as hypogammaglobulinemia), diminished function of phagocytic cells, decreased inflammatory response (such as complement deficiencies) and impaired splenic clearance (such as sickle cell disease and splenectomy). S. pneumoniae induces inflammation and subsequent tissue damage as a result of the release of cell wall fragments, such as peptidoglycan and techoic acid, and intracellular proteins such as pneumolysin. Cell wall fragments are more potent inducers of inflammation than is the intact cell wall. Thus, the host reaction to the pneumococcus may be enhanced by exposure to antimicrobial agents, such as β -lactam antibiotics, which disrupt the cell wall.

Diagnosis of severe pneumococcal pneumonia

Clinical manifestations and epidemiological risk factors have not been useful in predicting S. pneumoniae as an etiology of CAP on intensive care unit admission. The prognosis is most directly related to severity of illness [5]. Clinical manifestations have also not proven useful in distinguishing bacteremic from nonbacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia [6]. So, definitive diagnosis of severe pneumococcal pneumonia relies on microbiological tests. Performing laboratory tests has fallen out of favor in recent years given the unfortunate tendency to prescribe empiric broad-spectrum antibiotics. The basic principle of antibiotic therapy is to identify the etiologic pathogen and then target that pathogen with narrow-spectrum therapy. S. pneumoniae is the most likely causative pathogen in patients with CAP admitted to the intensive care unit.

Culture of *S. pneumoniae* from a normally sterile body fluid (blood or pleural fluid) in a patient with an acute pneumonia is considered a definitive diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonia. A positive sputum culture with a compatible Gram's stain is accepted as strong criteria for the diagnosis of pneumococcal pneumonia. Blood cultures are positive in 10-20% of pneumococcal pneumonias. Thus, we recommend that all hospitalized patients with CAP have blood cultures obtained. This logical recommendation has been met with resistance by some investigators who point out that in this era of widespread empirical usage of antibiotics, knowledge of results of blood cultures does not necessarily translate into changes in antibiotic therapy.

Accurate non-culture methods for diagnosis of bacterial infection also exist. A rapid urinary antigen test for *S. pneumoniae* (Binax, Portland, Maine, USA) has been evaluated and shown to have good specificity (above 95% in most studies) in the adult population and reasonable sensitivity (70–80% in most studies) [7–9]. Its diagnostic value in pediatric patients is limited since false-positive results are common among asymptomatic nasopharyngeal carriers. We feel, however, this underused test is a major advance for targeted therapy for CAP. It increases the diagnostic yield in adult patients with CAP, especially with patients who have received prior antimicrobial agents, when culture results are unlikely to be positive.

Immunological methods including coagglutination, latex agglutination or enzyme immunoassays for the detection of soluble pneumococcal antigen in sputum are also available [10]. These diagnostic tests are a variation of sputum culture; unfortunately, a positive test is not able to accurately differentiate a colonizing bacterium from a true pathogen and, thus, these tests have not been widely used.

Antimicrobial agent therapy

Recent data from numerous observational studies suggest that advances in antimicrobial therapy use can improve the outcome of pneumococcal pneumonia.

Impact of resistance

Penicillin has been the treatment of choice for pneumococcal pneumonia since its introduction in the 1950s. The emergence of drug-resistant S. pneumoniae strains using Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints for in-vitro susceptibility have resulted in marked shifts away from penicillin to other drugs for the empirical therapy of CAP [11[•]]. In-vitro resistance of the organism would be expected to render penicillins inadequate for therapy. Indeed, treatment failure due to β -lactams, macrolides and trimetoprim/sulfamethoxazole in meningitis and otitis media have been reported for drugresistant pneumococci [12,13]. It is, however, important to note that such failures have not occurred for patients with pneumonia infected by drug-resistant pneumococci receiving discordant therapy, i.e. receipt of parenteral penicillin-class antibiotics for penicillin-resistant S. pneumoniae. The impact of penicillin-resistance on outcome of pneumococcal pneumonia has been evaluated in several studies in both adult and pediatric patients during the past decade [12,14-28,29^{••},30-37]. No study has been able to demonstrate an adverse impact of resistance when severity of illness and underlying disease are taken into account [15]. As a matter of fact, penicillins surpass both macrolides and quinolones in efficacy for the therapy of pneumococcal pneumonia regardless of in-vitro resistance of the infecting pneumococci. Surprisingly, there is only a single report of documented microbiologic failure of parenteral penicillin-class antibiotics in the treatment of pneumococcal pneumonia, whereas there are numerous well-documented reports of treatment failures with quinolone-class and macrolide-class antibiotics [29**].

The lack of association between in-vitro antimicrobial resistance for penicillin and clinical outcome in patients with pneumococcal pneumonia can be understood using pharmacodynamic principles. The breakpoints of in-vitro resistance were derived from laboratory and clinical data related to the treatment of meningitis [38]. Penicillin breakpoints were defined based on cerebrospinal fluid concentrations in which a minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) of 0.06 mg/ml or below is considered susceptible, an MIC of 0.12 to 1.0 μ g/ml is intermediate and an MIC of 2 μ g/ml or above is resistant [39]. Treating pneumococcal pneumonia is, however, altogether different from treating meningitis. Without the presence of the

blood-brain barrier, the capillaries and pulmonary alveoli are separated by no more than the thickness of two cells that have a shared basement membrane. Antibiotic concentrations in the alveoli approach those in the blood, especially under conditions of acute inflammations. Intravenous administration of penicillin produces a vastly higher drug concentration in the lung and blood than in the cerebrospinal fluid. Accordingly, a pneumococcus with a penicillin MIC of 2 µg/ml that is causing pneumonia would likely respond to penicillin, whereas this same organism causing meningitis might not be eradicated by penicillin therapy. It has become clear that the current Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints are too conservative for nonmeningeal infections and thus in-vitro resistance has not correlated with clinical outcome for patients with pneumococcal pneumonia.

β-Lactam antibiotics display time-dependent bacteriological activity; the time (T) > MIC is the relevant pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic parameter [40,41]. A T > MIC of 40% of the dosing interval for most β-lactams is predictive of high bactericidal efficacy [40-42]. For penicillin, an intravenous bolus dose results in a serum concentration above MICs for penicillin-susceptible and penicillin-intermediate isolates for 6h or less after administration. The highest intravenous dose of 5 million units of penicillin achieves serum concentrations above the MIC for highly penicillin-resistant pneumococci (4 µg/ml) for 4 h. Furthermore, continuous infusion of 24 million units in 24 h after an initial loading dose gives a steady penicillin concentration of approximately 20 µg/ml in serum for the entire dosing interval, which is above the MIC for all S. pneumoniae isolates [43]. Since pneumococci with penicillin MICs of 4 µg/ml or above are extremely rare, intravenous administration of high-dose penicillin is sufficient to eradicate the pneumococcus at the current level of resistance. Similar observations have been made for aminopenicillins and extended spectrum non-pseudomonal cephalosporins including cefotaxime and ceftriaxone [42].

The response to treatment for an infectious disease is related not only to the potency of antimicrobials administered, but also the adequacy of host defense and virulence of the pathogen. A proportion of patients with pneumococcal pneumonia, including those infected with a drug-susceptible pathogen, fail to respond to therapy, even when given appropriate antimicrobials [3,44]. As mentioned previously, the mortality of bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia remains at 10-20% despite the development of antimicrobials and advances in intensive care [4]. One possibility is that other factors, likely immunologic or genetic, influence the outcome of this infectious condition. Since the emergence of β -lactam in-vitro resistance does not increase the mortality of pneumococcal pneumonia when β -lactams are used for treatment, switching to other antimicrobial agents will not result in better clinical response. Furthermore, there is evidence in animal models that antimicrobial agent resistance may be associated with a decreased fitness of the resistant organism or an increased energy cost for the bacteria, thus rendering the organism less virulent because of the selection of life support process over mechanisms of pathogenesis [45,46,47[•]]. In an international study that enrolled 844 patients of bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia, among the 13 patients with MIC $\geq 4 \mu g/ml$, only one of the 13 was severely ill and only one patient died [35].

Treatment guidelines have been inappropriately influenced by diminishing *in vitro* susceptibility to penicillin. The Infectious Diseases Society of America practice guidelines for the management of CAP in immunocompetent adult recommends penicillin G as 'the preferred agent for proven penicillin-susceptible strains of *S. pneumoniae*' [48]. This sentence implies that penicillin G is no longer the current drug of choice to treat pneumococcal pneumonia in the era of penicillin-resistant pneumococci. Based on reasons listed above and the current level of penicillin resistance among *S. pneumoniae*, however, penicillin or aminopenicillin should remain the drugs of choice in treating pneumococcal pneumonia.

Combination antibiotic therapy

Several medical-specialty professional societies have suggested that combination therapy with a β -lactam plus a macrolide or doxycycline or monotherapy with a 'respiratory quinolone' are optimal first-line therapy for patients hospitalized with CAP [48]. These recommendations were driven by the presumed necessity to provide coverage for drug-resistant *S. pneumoniae* as well as atypical bacterial pathogens (*Legionella, Mycoplasma, Chlamydia*).

Several groups of investigators have shown that two-drug class therapy is significantly superior for critically ill patients with bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia based on clinical evidence of superiority (Table 1) [49–55]. The fact that combination therapy may be superior to monotherapy in the treatment of patients with severe CAP may be explained by different factors, including a better coverage of atypical microorganisms, the potential anti-inflammatory effects of macrolides and synergy with antibiotics acting at two different sites in the bacteria (e.g. the bacterial cell wall for β -lactams and the inhibition of protein synthesis for macrolides).

Synergy when combining treatment against *S. pneumoniae* with macrolides with penicillin or cefotaxime has, however, not been documented *in vitro* ([56] and Chiou, unpublished data). Therefore, synergistic action between

Reference	Study group	Prospective/ retrospective	Patient number	Controlled for severity of illness (Y/N)	Combo is superior (Y/N)
Gleason [51]	Community-acquired pneumonia	Retrospective	12945	Ν	Y
Mufson [52]	Bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia	Retrospective	328	N	Y
Waterer [54]	Bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia	Retrospective	225	Y	Y
Martinez [53]	Bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia	Retrospective	409	N	Y
Weiss [55]	Bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia	Retrospective	95	Y	Y
Baddour [49]	Bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia	Prospective	844	Y	Y
Harbarth [71]	Pneumococcal sepsis	Retrospective	107	Y	N
Garcia Vazquez [50]	Community-acquired pneumonia	Retrospective	1391	Y	Y

Table 1 Published reports on monotherapy vs. combination antibiotic in treatment of pneumonia

these two antibiotic agent classes does not appear to be the reason for the clinical superiority of combination antibiotics. Moreover, in a large-scale study, patients receiving combination antibiotics other than β -lactam antibiotics and macrolides also benefited [49].

It should be emphasized that the potential benefits of combination antibiotic therapy in clinical studies were limited to more severely ill patients [49,54,55], and the optimal combination of antimicrobial agents and duration of therapy has not been not defined. It has been well-documented by Austrian and others [20,56] that a high percentage of patients (up to 60%) with bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia who do not survive the infection die during the first 3–5 days after hospitalization

despite adequate antimicrobial therapy. It is important to explore whether this group of patients with early death from pneumococcal pneumonia will benefit from combination therapy.

Targeted antibiotic therapy

Given the sensitivity of the rapid urinary antigen test (88% for bacteremic pneumonia [56]), the possibility of targeted therapy is now achievable. In a study of 219 young immunocompetent military recruits, oral amoxicillin was used for those patients with a positive urinary antigen test, while a macrolide was used for all other patients [57°]. The outcome rates were similar: 94% for the macrolide (clarithromycin) vs. 90% for the penicillin (amoxicillin) (Fig. 1).

Figure 1 Efficacy of macrolide vs. penicillin therapy using the results from Binax urinary antigen test for pneumococcus as the decision point for therapy selection

For patients with a negative urinary antigen, clarithromycin was administered. For patients with a positive urinary antigen, penicillin was administered. Efficacy was similar for both treatment groups. Note that pneumococcal pneumonia in the urinary antigen-negative group was diagnosed by sputum culture plus compatible gram stain. (Reprinted from [58].)



Prevention

Although the pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine has not shown consistent protection in all randomized, double-blind, controlled trials involving elderly individuals [58], the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Advisory Committee on Immunization Practices have concluded that the studies in aggregate show substantial benefit [59–62]. So, the 23-valent vaccine is recommended for all elderly individuals who have no contraindications. A seven-valent pneumococcal conjugate vaccine has been approved by the Food and Drug Administration for children. Interestingly, the incidence of invasive pneumococcal disease in adults also appears to have declined significantly after implementation of the conjugate vaccine in children, possibly reflecting indirect immunity [63].

Adjunctive therapy

Recent advances in the early supportive therapy for patients with severe sepsis correlating with improved survival include early goal-directed fluid resuscitation of the patient [64] and stringent glycemic control [65]. The international PROWESS trial of recombinant human activated protein C for severe sepsis [66] showed that patients with severe sepsis caused by CAP, especially in pneumonia caused by *S. pneumoniae*, with or without bacteremia, who were treated with recombinant human inactivated protein C had a greater survival benefit than that seen in patients with sepsis originating from intra-abdominal infections, postsurgical or other soft tissue infections or urosepsis [67].

In the preantibiotic era, antibody-based immunotherapy was effectively used to treat pneumococcal infection. Serum therapy alone significantly decreased the mortality rate compared with a cohort of control subjects with no serum therapy [3]. It is biologically plausible that patients benefit from such immunotherapy since type-specific antibodies play an important role in the opsonization for phagocytosis in the pathogenesis of invasive pneumococcal infection. Whether concomitant administration of intravenous immunoglobulin with antimicrobials will improve the survival of severe pneumococcal pneumonia warrants further study since a beneficial effect has been demonstrated in a murine model [68].

Severe pneumococcal pneumonia in children

S. pneumoniae is also the leading cause of CAP in children. In contrast to adult patients, the case fatality rate is significantly lower in children; in a 6-year multicenter study of invasive pneumococcal infection, the case fatality rate was only 1.56% [69]. Pleural empyema requiring chest tube drainage and necrotizing pneumonia are common complications of severe pneumococcal pneumonia in children [70]. Hemolytic uremic syndrome has also been reported to be associated with severe pneumococcal pneumonia in children.

Conclusion

Severe pneumococcal pneumonia is a challenge to treatment, both in the pre- and post-antibiotic era. The emergence of in-vitro resistance as defined by Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints has not increased the occurrence of severe pneumococcal pneumonia. β -Lactam antimicrobials remain the drug of choice for pneumococcal pneumonia. The availability of the urinary antigen test that is sensitive for pneumococcal pneumonia, especially bacteremia, allows the opportunity for early targeted therapy with penicillin (rather than broad-spectrum empiric therapy) for pneumococcal pneumonia. Combination therapy of β -lactam antimicrobials with other classes of antimicrobials appears to confer a survival benefit for patients with bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia.

References and recommended reading

Papers of particular interest, published within the annual period of review, have been highlighted as:

- of special interest
- •• of outstanding interest

Additional references related to this topic can also be found in the Current World Literature section in this issue (p. 517).

- Armstrong GL, Conn LA, Pinner RW. Trends in infectious disease mortality in the United States during the 20th century. JAMA 1999; 281:61–66.
- 2 Mandell LA, Marrie TJ, Grossman RF, et al. Canadian guidelines for the initial management of community-acquired pneumonia: an evidence-based update by the Canadian Infectious Diseases Society and the Canadian Thoracic Society The Canadian Community-Acquired Pneumonia Working Group. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 31:383-421.
- 3 Austrian R, Gold J. Pneumococcal bacteremia with special reference to bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. Ann Intern Med 1964; 60: 759-776.
- 4 Plouffe JF, Martin DR. Re-evaluation of the therapy of severe pneumonia caused by Streptococcus pneumoniae. Infect Dis Clin North Am 2004; 18:963-974.
- 5 Georges H, Leroy O, Vandenbussche C, et al. Epidemiological features and progress of severe community-acquired pneumococcal pneumonia. Intensive Care Med 1999; 25:198.
- 6 Ioachimescu OC, Ioachimescu AG, Iannini PB. Severity scoring in communityacquired pneumonia caused by *Streptococcus pneumoniae*: a 5-year experience. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2004; 24:485–490.
- 7 Yu VL, Kellog JA, Plouff JF, *et al.* Evaluation of the Binax urinary gram stain and sputum culture for *Streptococcus pneumoniae* in patients with community-acquired pneumonia [abstract]. Clin Infect Dis 2000; 32: 258.
- 8 Murdock DR, Laing RTR, Mills GD, et al. Evaluation of a rapid immunochromatographic test for detection of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* antigen in urine samples from adults with community-acquired pneumonia. J Clin Microbiol 2001; 39:3495–3498.
- 9 Smith MD, Derrington P, Evans P, et al. Rapid diagnosis of bacteremic pneumococcal infections in adults by using the Binax NOW Streptococcus pneumoniae urine antigen test: a prospective, controlled clinical evaluation. J Clin Microbiol 2003; 41:2810–2813.
- 10 Ortqvist A, Hedlund J, Kalin M. Streptococcus pneumoniae: epidemiology, risk factors, and clinical features. Semin Respir Crit Care Med 2005; 26:563-574.
- Chiou CC. Does penicillin remain the drug of choice for pneumococcal pneumonia in view of emerging *in vitro* resistance? Clin Infect Dis 2006; 42:234-237.

The pharmacodynamics of penicillin and the historical context of how Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute breakpoints were formulated demonstrates why penicillin is effective against penicillin-'resistant' pneumonia.

- 12 Deeks S, Palacio R, Ruvinsky R, et al. Risk factors and course of illness among children with invasive penicillin-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae. Pediatrics 1999; 103:409-413.
- 13 Straus WL, Qazi SA, Kundi Z, et al. Antimicrobial resistance and clinical effectiveness of co-trimoxazole versus amoxycillin for pneumonia among children in Pakistan: randomized controlled trial Pakistan Co-trimoxazole Study Group. Lancet 1998; 352:270–274.
- 14 Aspa J, Rajas O, Rodriguez de Castro F, et al. Pneumococcal Pneumonia in Spain Study Group Drug-resistant pneumococcal pneumonia: clinical relevance and related factors. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 38:787–798.
- 15 Aspa J, Rajas O, Castro FR. Reply to Yu and Baddour. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 39:1087-1088.
- 16 Carratalà J, Marron A, Fernàndez-Sevilla A, et al. Treatment of penicillinresistant pneumococcal bacteremia in neutropenic patients with cancer. Clin Infect Dis 1997; 24:148–152.
- 17 Castillo EM, Rickman LS, Brodine SK, et al. Streptococcus pneumoniae: bacteremia in an era of penicillin resistance. Am J Infect Control 2000; 28: 239–243.
- 18 Ewig S, Ruiz M, Torres A, et al. Pneumonia acquired in the community through drug-resistant Streptococcus pneumoniae. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999; 159:1835–1842.
- 19 Falcó V, Almirante B, Jordano Q, et al. Influence of penicillin resistance on outcome in adult patients with invasive pneumococcal pneumonia: is penicillin useful against intermediate resistant strains? J Antimicrob Chemother 2004; 54:481-488.
- 20 Feikin DR, Schuchat A, Kolczak M, et al. Mortality from invasive pneumococcal pneumonia in the era of antibiotic resistance. Am J Public Health 2000; 90: 223–229.
- 21 Friedland IR, Klugman KP. Antibiotic-resistant pneumococcal disease in South African children. Am J Dis Child 1992; 146:920-923.
- 22 Friedland IR. Comparison of the response to antimicrobial therapy of penicillin-resistant and penicillin-susceptible pneumococcal disease. Pediatr Infect Dis 1995; 14:885–890.
- 23 File TM Jr, Jacobs MR, Poole MD, Wynne B. Outcome of treatment of respiratory tract infections due to *Streptococcus pneumoniae*, including drug-resistant strains with pharmacokinetically enhanced amoxycillin/ clavulanate. Int J Antimicrob Agents 2002; 20:235-247.
- 24 File TM, Lode H, Kurz H, et al. Double-blind, randomized study of the efficacy and safety of oral pharmacokinetically enhanced amoxicillin (2000/125 milligrams) vs those of amoxicillin-clavulanate (875/125 milligrams) both given twice daily for 7 days in treatment of bacterial community-acquired pneumonia in adults. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2004; 48:3323–3331.
- 25 Gonzalez BE, Martinez-Aguilar G, Mason EOJR, Kaplan SL. Azithromycin compared with beta-lactam antibiotic treatment failures in pneumococcal infections of children. Pediatr Infect Dis J 2004; 23:339–405.
- 26 Kalin M, Ortqvist A, Almela M, et al. Prospective study of prognostic factors in community-acquired bacteremia pneumococcal disease in 5 countries. J Infect Dis 2000; 181:840–847.
- 27 Pallares R, Linares J, Vadillo M, et al. Resistance to penicillin and cephalosporin and mortality from severe pneumococcal pneumonia in Barcelona, Spain. N Engl J Med 1995; 333:474-480.
- 28 Pallares R, Capdevila O, Linares J, et al. The effect of cephalosporin resistance on mortality in adult patients with nonmeningeal systemic pneumococcal infections. Am J Med 2002; 113:120–126.
- Peterson LR. Penicillins for therapy of pneumococcal pneumonia: does in vitro
 resistance really matter? Clin Infect Dis 2006; 42:224–233.

In this review of over 7000 patients, only a single report of documented microbiologic failure of parenteral penicillin-class antibiotics in the treatment of pneumococcal pneumonia was found, whereas 21 reports of treatment failure with quinolone-class and 35 reports of macrolide-class antibiotics for the treatment of pneumococcal pneumonia were found.

- 30 Plouffe JF, Breiman RF, Facklam RR. Bacteremia with Streptococcus pneumoniae. Implications for therapy and prevention. Franklin County Pneumonia Study Group. JAMA 1996; 275:194–198.
- 81 Rosón B, Carratala J, Tubau F, et al. Usefulness of betalactam therapy for community-acquired pneumonia in the era of drug-resistant *Streptococcus* pneumoniae: a randomized study of amoxicillin-clavulanate and ceftriaxone. Microb Drug Resist 2001; 7:85–96.
- 32 Rosón B, Carratalà J, Fernandez-Sabé N, Tubau F, et al. Causes and factors associated with early failure in hospitalized patients with community-acquired pneumonia. Arch Intern Med 2004; 164:502–508.
- 33 Turett GS, Blum S, Fazal BA, et al. Penicillin resistance and other predictors of mortality in pneumococcal bacteremia in a population with high human immunodeficiency virus seroprevalence. Clin Infect Dis 1999; 29:321–327.

- 34 Song JH, Jung SI, Ki HK, et al. Clinical outcomes of pneumococcal pneumonia caused by antibiotic-resistant strains in Asian countries: a study by the Asian Network for Surveillance of Resistant Pathogens. Clin Infect Dis 2004; 38:1570-1578.
- 35 Yu VL, Klugman KP, Ortqvist A, et al. Reply to questions on peumococcal bacteremia (Yu VL et al.) Clin Infect Dis 2003; 37:230-237.
- 36 Van Kerkhoven D, Peetermans WE, Verbist L, Verhaegen J. Breakthrough pneumococcal bacteremia in patients treated with clarithromycin or oral betalactams. J Antimicrob Chemother 2003; 51:691–696.
- 37 Watanabe H, Sato S, Kawakami K, et al. A comparative clinical study of pneumonia by penicillin-resistant and -sensitive Streptococcus pneumoniae in a community hospital. Respirology 2000; 5:59–64.
- 38 Musher DM, Bartlett JG, Doern GV. A fresh look at the definition of susceptibility of *Streptococcus pneumoniae* to β-lactam antibiotics. Arch Intern Med 2001; 161:2538–2544.
- 39 National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards. Performance standards for antimicrobial testing. 12th informational supplement. NCCLS document M100-S12. Wayne: NCCLS 2002.
- 40 Craig WA. Interrelationship between pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics in determining dosage regimens for broad-spectrum cephalosporins. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis 1995; 22:89–96.
- 41 Craig WA. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacodynamic parameters: rationale for antibacterial dosing of mice and men. Clin Infect Dis 1998; 26:1–12.
- 42 Andes D. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacodynamic properties of antimicrobials in the therapy of respiratory tract infections. Curr Opin Infect Dis 2001; 14:165-172.
- 43 Bryan CS, Talwani R, Stinson MS. Penicillin dosing for pneumococcal pneumonia. Chest 1997; 112:1657–1664.
- 44 Bishai W. The in-vivo and in-vitro paradox in pneumococcal respiratory tract infections. J Antimicrob Chemother 2002; 49:433-436.
- 45 Rieux V, Carbon C, Azoulay-Dupuis E. Complex relationship between acquisition of beta-lactam resistance and loss of virulence in *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. J Infect Dis 2001; 184:66–72.
- 46 Azoulay-Dupuis E, Rieux V, Muffat-Joly M, et al. Relationship between capsular type, penicillin susceptibility, and virulence of human Streptococcus pneumoniae isolates in mice. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2000; 44:1575– 1577.
- Trzcinski K, Thompson CM, Gilbey AM, et al. Incremental increase in fitness
 cost with increased beta-lactam resistance in pneumococcal bacteremia in a population with high human immunodeficiency virus seroprevalence. J Infect Dis 2006; 193:1296–1303.

Colonization of the upper respiratory tract precedes pneumonia. Virulent pneumococci were transformed into variants resistant to penicillin. These variant pneumococci showed decreased virulence in rats. When penicillin-binding protein alleles were acquired in pneumococci, these resistant pneumococci were less likely to colonize the nasopharnyx of rats as compared to susceptible pneumococci.

- 48 Mandell LA, Bartlett JG, Dowell SF, et al. Update of practice guidelines for the management of community-acquired pneumonia in immunocompetent adults. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 37:1405–1433.
- 49 Baddour LM, Yu VL, Klugman KP, et al. Combination antibiotic therapy may lower mortality for severe Streptococcus pneumoniae bacteremia: an international prospective multicenter study. Am J Resp Crit Care Med 2004; 170:440-444.
- 50 Garcia Vazquez E, Mensa J, Martinez JA, et al. Lower mortality among patients with community-acquired pneumonia treated with macrolide plus a betalactam agent versus a beta-lactam agent alone. Eur J Clin Microbiol Dis 2005; 24:190–195.
- 51 Gleason P, Meehan TP, Fine JM. Association between antimicrobial therapy and medical outcomes for hospitalized elderly patients with pneumonia. Arch Intern Med 1999; 159:2562–2572.
- 52 Mufson MA, Stanek RJ. Bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia in one American city: a 20-year longitudinal study. Am J Med 1999; 104:34S-43S.
- 53 Martinez JA, Horcajada JP, Almela M, et al. Addition of a macrolide to a betalactam-based empirical antibiotic regimen associated with lower in-hospital mortality for patients with bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 36:389–395.
- 54 Waterer GW, Somes GW, Wunderink RG. Monotherapy may be suboptimal for severe bacteremic pneumococcal pneumonia. Arch Intern Med 2001; 161:1873–1842.
- 55 Weiss K, Low DE, Cortes L, *et al.* Clinical characteristic at initial presentation an impact of dual therapy on the outcome of Streptococcus pneumoniae pneumonia in adults. Can Respir J 2004; 11:589–593.

- 56 Yu VL, Chiou CC, Feldman C, et al. An international prospective study of pneumococcal bacteremia: correlation with *in vitro* resistance, antibiotics administered, and clinical outcome. Clin Infect Dis 2003; 371:230–237.
- 57 Guchev IA, Yu VL, Sinopalnikov A, et al. Management of non-severe pneu-
- monia in military trainees using the urinary antigen test for Streptococcus pneumoniae: an innovative approach to targeted therapy. Clin Infect Dis 2005; 40:1608-1616.

This study of 219 immunocompetent young males demonstrated a practical application of a rapid diagnostic method. Penicillin was given as targeted therapy for CAP patients with a positive urinary antigen test for pneumococci, while clarithromycin was given if the urinary antigen test was negative. Efficacy in both groups was similar (over 90%). Patients with positive urinary antigen tests also had more prolonged time for resolution of symptoms underscoring the point that pneumococcal pneumonia is more severe than pneumonia due to other respiratory pathogens

- 58 Ortqvist A, Hedlund J, Burman LA, et al. Randomised trial of 23-valent pneumococcal capsular polysaccharide vaccine in prevention of pneumonia in middle-aged elderly people. Swedish Pneumococcal Vaccination Study Group. Lancet 1998; 351:399–403.
- 59 Butler JC, Breiman RF, Campbell JF, et al. Pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine efficacy. An evaluation of current recommendations. JAMA 1993; 270:1826–1831.
- 60 Jackson LA, Neuzil KM, Yu O, et al. Vaccine safety datalink effectiveness of pneumococcal polysaccharide vaccine in older adults. N Engl J Med 2003; 348:1747-1755.
- 61 Sims RV, Steinmann WC, McConville JH, et al. The clinical effectiveness of pneumococcal vaccine in the elderly. Ann Intern Med 1998; 108:653-657.
- 62 Sisk JE, Moskowitz AJ, Whang W, et al. Cost-effectiveness of vaccination against pneumococcal bacteremia among elderly people [Erratum in: JAMA 2000; 19:283–341]. JAMA 1997; 278:1333–1339.

- 63 Whitney CG, Farley MM, Hadler J, et al. Active Bacterial Core Surveillance of the Emerging Infections Program Network. Decline in invasive pneumococcal disease after introduction of protein-polysaccharide conjugate vaccine. N Engl J Med 2003; 348:1737-1746.
- 64 Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S, et al. Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock. N Engl J Med 2001; 345:1368– 1377.
- 65 Van den Berghe G, Wouters P, Weekers F, et al. Intensive insulin therapy in the critically ill patients. N Engl J Med 2001; 345:1359–1367.
- 66 Bernard GR, Vincent JL, Laterre PF, et al. Recombinant human protein C Worldwide Evaluation in Severe Sepsis (PROWESS) study group. Efficacy and safety of recombinant human activated protein C for severe sepsis. N Engl J Med 2001; 344:699–709.
- **67** Laterre PF, Garber G, Levy H, *et al.* PROWESS Clinical Evaluation Committee. Severe community-acquired pneumonia as a cause of sever sepsis: data from the PROWESS study. Crit Care Med 2005; 33:952–961.
- 68 De Hennezel L, Ramisse F, Binder P, et al. Effective combination therapy for invasive pneumococcal pneumonia with ampicillin and intravenous immunoglobulins in a mouse model. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001; 45: 316-318.
- 69 Kaplan SL, Mason EO Jr, Wald E, et al. Six year multicenter surveillance of invasive pneumococcal infections in children. Pediatr Infect Dis 2002; 21: 141-147.
- 70 Tan TQ, Manson EO Jr, Wald ER, et al. Clinical characteristics of children with complicated pneumonia caused by *Streptococcus pneumoniae*. Pediatrics 2002; 110:1–6.
- 71 Harbarth S, Garbino J, Pugin J, et al. Lack of effect of combination antibiotic therapy on mortality in patients with pneumococcal sepsis. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2005; 24:688–690.